HI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक MCC 444/06 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

M.C.C.No. 444 OF 2006

[Single Bench; Hon'ble Mr. Justice Dhirendra Mishra]

APPLICANT
Appellant

Nagar Mal, S/o Lahrimal, aged about 75 years, R/o Lendhra, Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh (C.G.)

Vs

NON-APPLICANTS
Respondents

1. Ghanshyam Das Agrawal
S/o Kunjlal Agrawal,
R/o Chhotemathpara, Sarangarh,
District Raigarh (C.G.)
2. State Bank of India,
Branch Lendhra, Thasil Raigarh
Through Branch Manager,
State Bank of India Lendra
Raigarh (C.G.)
3. State Bank of India
Branch Sarangarh
Tahsil Sarangarh, Distt. Raigarh,
Through Branch Manager
Sarangarh Branch, Raigarh (C.G.)
4. State Bank of India
Agricultural Development Branch
Sarangarh Tahsil Sarangarh,
Distt. Raigarh,
Trough Branch Manager
Agricultural Development Branch
Sarangarh, Raigarh (C.G.)
5. Raigarh Kshetriya Gramin Bank
Branch Sarangarh, Thasil
Sarangarh, District Raigarh
Through Branch Manager
Raigarh Kshetriya Gramin Bank
Branch Sarangarh, Thasil
Sarangarh, District Raigarh

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

-2-

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

6. State Bank of India
Branch Baramkela, Tahsil Sarangarh District Raigarh, Through: Branch Manager, State Bank of Baramkela
7. State of Madhya Pradesh
(Now Chhattisgarh)
Through: The Collector
Raigarh (C.G.)

2.11.2007

Miss Sharmila Singhai, Counsel for the applicant.

Shri S.N. Nande, Counsel for the non-applicants.

Heard.

This petition has been filed for restoration of M.A.No.1225/1997, which was dismissed by this Court on 24.2.2005 (Annexure A-1) for want of prosecution.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that during pendency of this petition, original suit pending before the Court below was dismissed for want of prosecution. However, she submits that the plaintiff has moved an application for restoration of the Civil Suit which has been dismissed and restoration proceeding is pending before the trial Court.

Taking in to consideration that the impugned order against which Miscellaneous Appeal No. 1225/1997 was filed before this Court already stands dismissed, the

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

-3-

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
R1-	instant application has become infructuous and therefore the same is dismissed as having become infructuous. However, the applicant may file appropriate application for revival if the contingency so arises. **Sd/-** **Dhirendra Mishra** **Judge**	